

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

6

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|   | APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|
|   | 10/073,559            | 02/11/2002    | Brian C. Dais        | J-3227                    | 5056              |  |
|   |                       | 90 10/06/2003 |                      | EXAMINER                  |                   |  |
| S. C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.<br>1525 HOWE STREET |                       |               |                      | DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES |                   |  |
|   | RACINE, WI 53403-2236 |               |                      | ART UNIT                  | UNIT PAPER NUMBER |  |
|   |                       |               |                      | 3744                      |                   |  |
|   |                       |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003   | 3                 |  |
|   |                       |               |                      |                           | 23                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |                          |                      |   | 100         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ~~  |  | Application              | No.                  | Applicant(s)                                      | <del></del> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | 10/073,559               |                      | DAIS ET AL.                                       |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner                 |                      | Art Unit  |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | William C Do             | errier               | 3744  |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   |  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29   | August 2003 .            |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T   | his action is no         | n-final.             |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| · -   | on of Claims   |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) <u>35-71</u> is/are pending in the application  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   | awn from consi           | deration.            |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | Claim(s) <u>54-60 and 68-71</u> is/are allowed.  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>35,36,38,40-45,47,49-53,61 and 64-</u>   | <u>-67</u> is/are reject | red.                 |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>37,39,46,48 and 62</u> is/are objected t   | to.                      |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/<br>on Papers  | or election requ         | uirement.            |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[] -  | Γhe specification is objected to by the Examin   | ner.                     |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)🛛 -  | Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>02 June 2003</u> is/are: a  | a)⊠ accepted or          | b)  objected to by t | he Examiner.                                      |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | the drawing(s) be        | held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).                                |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) 🗌 -   | The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a)□ appı             | roved b)⊡ disappro   | oved by the Examine                               | er.         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | xaminer.                 |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig   | gn priority unde         | r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | )-(d) or (f).                                     |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documer  | nts have been r          | eceived.             |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documer  | nts have been r          | eceived in Applicati | on No   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| `   | a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.                             |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment  | _  |                          |                      |   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5)                       |                      | y (PTO-413) Paper No(:<br>Patent Application (PTC |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/073,559

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8-29-2003 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 35,36,38,43,44,45,47,52,53,61 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bazemore et al.

Bazemore et al shows a food container having an inner and an outer wall which are designed to have refrigerant between them. If a user were to heat the bowl so that the pressure built up too rapidly to escape through aperture 34, it is considered inherent that the seal between 30 and 32 (which is sealed by the user) would separate prior to the inner and outer wall rupturing. This separation between the seal formed between 30 and 32 is seen to meet the claimed movement between the walls of the above claims.

Art Unit: 3744

It is noted that any cooling container with an outer wall and an inner wall sealed together with coolant between them will inherently burst at the seams if the pressure increases. This is seen to meet the claims above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 40-42, 49-51, 63,64,66 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bazemore et al in view of WO 93/24797 to Kouwenberg et al.

Bazemore et al discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a cooling container having coolant sealed between inner and outer walls with the inner and outer walls separating if the pressure rises in the coolant compartment, substantially as claimed with the exception of using carboxymethylcellulose as the coolant. Kouwenberg et al

Art Unit: 3744

show this feature to be old in the cooling container art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Kouwenberg et al to modify the cooling container of Bazemore et al by using carboxymethylcellulose as the coolant to enable a lower temperature and to increase the latent heat derived from the melting of the coolant.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37,39,46,48 and 62 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 54-60 and 68-71 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C Doerrler whose telephone number is (703) 308-0696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,559

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD September 23, 2003

.